

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/620,694	07/17/2003	Hsiao-Pen Shen	2450-0522P	9307	
2292 RIRCH STFW	2292 7590 07/31/2007 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747			SENFI, BEHROOZ M		
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2621		
		•			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	07/31/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/620,694	SHEN, HSIAO-PEN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Behrooz Senfi	2621
The MAILING DATE of this communication		
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard properties of the meaning patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	S DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a representation of the company of	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	<u>5 May 2007</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ 1	This action is non-final.	•
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matt	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.		•
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) = a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	·	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	• • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.	
Certified copies of the priority docum		· ·
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bur	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ropolivad
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.
attachment(s)		
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of I	s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	<u></u> ,

Application/Control Number: 10/620,694 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's arguments filed 05/15/2007 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Araki (US 5,838,494) in view of Van Berkel et al. (US 6,064,424).

Regarding claim 1, Araki discloses, displaying multiple-view stereoscopic images i.e. fig. 3), including the following steps: providing a flat panel display having a vertical axis and a lenticular lens (please see; figs. 2A - 4, col. 3, lines 6 - 20), obtaining a set of multiple view images and sending the multiple-view images to the stereoscopic image synthesizer and, then informing the stereoscopic image synthesizer of a view number of the multiple-view images and a horizontal display resolution and a vertical display resolution of a screen by the stereoscopic image synthesizer after finishing step B (col. 1, lines 5 - 10, col. 2, lines 60 - col. 3, lines 5) and displaying stereoscopic images on the flat panel display with the lenticular lens (please see; col. 2, lines 30 - 39).

Art Unit: 2621

Araki is silent in specific of, lenticular lens slanted at an angle to the flat panel display.

Van Berkel in particular (i.e. fig. 2, col. 2, lines 22 – 30 and col. 8, lines 31 – 55) teaches the above subject matter.

In view of the above, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Van Berkel and Araki by placing lenticular lens at an angle to the display, to provide an improved stereoscopic display apparatus, as suggested by Van Berkel (i.e. col. 2, lines 20 – 21).

Regarding claim 4, the combination of Araki and Van Berkel teaches, wherein a lenticular lens is vertically installed to the screen of the fiat panel display, while the lenticular lens is slanted at an angle of about 9.4623 degrees (Van Berkel, fig. 2, col. 8, lines 31 – 55).

4. Claims 2 – 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Araki '494 in view of Van Berkel '424 and further in view of Wood (US 6,295,070).

Regarding claim 2, the combination of Araki and Van Berkel teaches, displaying multiple-view stereoscopic images, as discussed with respect to claim 1 above.

Araki is silent to explicitly show one or more than one photographic device, such as digital camera, can be utilized to capture multiple-view images.

Wood in the same field (i.e. fig. 1, element 16) shows the video source/video camera for capturing multiple-view images.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,694

Art Unit: 2621

In view of the above, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement such teachings for capturing multipleview images.

Regarding claim 3, combination of Araki and Wood teaches, R, G, B sub-pixels for synthesizing the stereoscopic images (Wood; fig 1, element 41).

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Behrooz Senfi whose telephone number is 571-272-7339. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-3:00.

Art Unit: 2621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Behrooz Senfi Examiner Art Unit 2621 Page 5